## Kennesaw State University — Bagwell College of Education EPP Assessment Evaluation Framework

Faculty Name:		Date Submitte	ea:
Program:	m: Department:		
Name of Assessment	<b>:</b>		
Course Number(s)/N	lame:		
Key Assessment?	YES DNO		
PART 1: DESCRIPT	ION OF ASSESSMENT		
<ol> <li>What is it design</li> <li>What aspects of sure to include A</li> <li>What experience assessment? Wh</li> <li>Who are the assessment</li> </ol>	candidate performance <i>as related to applicab</i> LL performances related to applicable standares or learning have candidates had prior to this lat scaffolds have been designed to ensure can	key assessment (and/or course) that prepares them ndidate success on this assessment? tructor, clinical faculty, collaborating teachers, candi	n to successfully complete this
Part 1a. Checklist	Below Sufficient	Sufficient	Above Sufficient
1a. Description of Assessment: Purpose of the Assessment	<ul> <li>☐ Use or purpose of the assessment is ambiguous or vague; does not specify the aspects of candidate performance being measured as related to applicable standards.</li> <li>☐ Purpose does not include applicable standards or only one set of standards is included when other sets would have been applicable but were not provided.</li> <li>☐ The purpose of the assessment and its</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ The description of purpose explains to candidates the importance of this assessment and what it is intended to measure.</li> <li>□ The description of purpose identifies the key knowledge, skills, and/or dispositions required based on the identified standards.</li> <li>□ The description of purpose identifies ALL applicable standards (i.e., PSC, InTASC, EPP Technology Standards, etc.) on which candidate performance will be measured.</li> </ul>	Sufficient +  The purpose of the assessment and its use in candidate monitoring or decisions are consequential.

		and course have prepared candidates to successfully complete this assessment.	
		☐ The description of purpose identifies who assesses this key assessment.	
		☐ The purpose of the assessment and its use in candidate monitoring or decisions on program progression are specified and appropriate.	
Part 1a. PURPOSE OF 1	THE ASSESSMENT OAA Comments:		
<ol> <li>At what point in t</li> <li>How often are car</li> <li>If candidates are a</li> </ol>	ndidates assessed and by whom?	) is the assessment administered? Include the cours	
Part 1b. Checklist	Below Sufficient	Sufficient	Above Sufficient
1b. Description of Assessment: Administration of the Assessment	<ul> <li>Point or points of administration are not specified or lack detail.</li> <li>Course names or numbers are not included.</li> <li>Does not include details of who administers the assessment at the specified point or point(s).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Point or points when the assessment is administered during the program are specified.</li> <li>□ Course name(s) and number(s) are provided.</li> <li>□ Includes details of who assesses the candidate at the specified point or point(s).</li> </ul>	Sufficient + Not applicable.
Part 1b. ADMINISTRAT	FION OF THE ASSESSMENT OAA Commen	ts:	
<ol> <li>What is the minim</li> <li>How is the assessing</li> </ol>	on for success. Be sure to address ALL of the num expected level of candidate performance ment used to make decisions about candidate diation process when a candidate does not performance.	e required to pass the assessment (i.e., L3 for every i es' progression through the program?	ndicator or % of all indicators at L3)?
Part 1c. Checklist	Below Sufficient	Sufficient	Above Sufficient
1c. Description of Assessment: Criterion	Criterion for success (minimum expected level of performance required for	☐ The minimum expected level of candidate performance required for passing the	Sufficient +

		progression, is explicit.	
		☐ A detailed remediation process for unsuccessful	
		candidate performance is included.	
Part 1c. CRITERION FO	R SUCCESS OAA Comments:		
1d. What instructions a	re provided to candidates? Be sure to addres	ss ALL of the following prompts.	
	erformance are being measured?	3	
Standards, etc.)	·	ce being measured? (Include all applicable standards,	i.e., PSC, InTASC, EPP Technology
	assessment task within the instructions refere	• •	
		required to pass the assessment (i.e., L3 for every indicated to pass the assessment	cator or % of all indicators at L3)?
5. What is the remed	liation process if a candidate does not perform	at the minimum expected level?	
Part 1d. Checklist	Below Sufficient	Sufficient	Above Sufficient
1d. Description of Assessment: Instructions to Candidates	<ul> <li>☐ Instructions do not include details about what candidates are expected to do; aspects of performance being measured are not specified.</li> <li>☐ Standard set(s) for informing candidate performance are not provided, incomplete, or inaccurate.</li> <li>☐ Assessment tasks outlined in the instructions to candidates do not specify the standards related to the tasks; or specified standards are incomplete, loosely, or inappropriately aligned.</li> <li>☐ Instructions fail to inform candidates of the minimum expected level of performance for passing the assessment.</li> <li>☐ Instructions do not include a process of remediation for unsuccessful candidate performance, or the remediation process lacks specific details.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Candidate instructions provide specific and coherent details about what candidates are expected to do; aspects of performance being measured are specified.</li> <li>□ All applicable standard set(s) used to inform aspect of candidate performance are included, specific, and accurate.</li> <li>□ Assessment tasks within the instructions specify the standards related to each task, and are appropriately aligned with PSC, InTASC, and EPP Technology Standards, etc. as applicable.</li> <li>□ The minimum expected level of candidate performance required for passing the assessment (criterion for success, or what is "good enough") is explicit.</li> <li>□ A detailed remediation process for unsuccessful candidate performance is included.</li> </ul>	how the instrument results are used in reaching conclusions about their status/progression through the program.
Part 1d. INSTRUCTION	IS TO CANDIDATES OAA Comments:		
I .			

## Please review the graphic below and note the information in the red text.

of effort either above or below expectations

of standards cited.

PART 2: CONTENT OF THE ASSESSMENT (continued)

Performance	e Levels <del></del>			$\overline{}$
Indicator	L1	L2	L3	L4
	Beginning	Developing	Proficient	Exemplary
Readiness for Learning	The candidate accurately identifies	AND	AND	AND
INTASC 1.f	which tasks are below, within, and	Articulates how development	Determines which developmental	Suggests an appropriate
The candidate identifies readiness	above the student's zone of proximal	in any one area may affect	areas matter given the context	task for the student.
for learning and understands how	development.	performance on the task.	and which ones do not.	
development in any one area		Performance /		
may affect performance in others.		Level Descriptors		

**Note:** The word "indicators" is used as a generic term for assessment items. For projects or assignments, indicators would refer to a prompt or task that a candidate is to perform. For an observation, an indicator might be a category of performance to observe or a specific aspect of candidate performance. For content tests, indicators would refer to the test questions.

## 2a. Rubric Indicators: 1. Describe EACH proficiency to be evaluated (typically ONLY ONE proficiency per indicator). You might establish a brief title with a short description of that indicator under the title. 2. Directly correlate indicators to the assessment tasks described in the instructions to candidates. **Above Sufficient Below Sufficient** Sufficient Part 2a. Checklist ☐ Indicators are vague or lack details of the ☐ Indicators explicitly describe the proficiencies to be Sufficient + 2a. Content of proficiencies to be assessed. assessed. ☐ The majority of indicators Assessment: Rubric require observers to judge ☐ Majority of indicators address more than ☐ Majority of indicators address ONLY one program Indicators one program standard/proficiency. standard/proficiency. consequential attributes of candidate proficiencies in ☐ There are instances where indicators ☐ Indicators directly correlate to the assessment tasks the standards. address proficiencies not related to any identified in the candidate instructions. standards or assessment tasks. ☐ Indicators are appropriately aligned to the ☐ Indicators do not correlate to assessments standards associated with the assessments tasks tasks in candidate instructions. cited in instructions to candidates. ☐ Indicators lack alignment to standards ☐ Indicators assess the appropriate levels of difficulty associated with the assessments tasks cited or levels of effort described in the cited standards. in instructions to candidates. ☐ Indicators assess levels of difficulty or levels

## Part 2a. RUBRIC INDICATORS OAA Comments: 2b. Performance Levels: 1. Represent a developmental and numerical sequence from level to level (i.e., L1, L2, L3, L4). 2. Are qualitatively defined (e.g., Does Not Meet, Meets, Exceeds; Ineffective, Needs Development, Proficient, Exemplary, etc.). **Below Sufficient** Sufficient **Above Sufficient** Part 2b. Checklist ☐ Performance levels are not developmentally 2b. Content of ☐ Performance levels are developmentally sequenced Sufficient + and numerically sequenced. in ascending order (i.e., L1, L2, L3, L4). Not applicable. Assessment: ☐ Performance levels are not qualitatively ☐ Performance levels are qualitatively defined. **Performance Levels** defined. Performance levels are consistent with an L3 representing the minimum expected level of performance, allowing for data that can be consistently compared across multiple assessments. Part 2b. PERFORMANCE LEVELS OAA Comments: 2c. Performance Level Descriptors: 1. Explicitly assess the standards referenced in the assessment tasks in the instructions to candidates. 2. Represent a developmental sequence from level to level (to provide raters with explicit guidelines for evaluating candidate performance and candidates with explicit feedback on their performance). 3. Assess the appropriate level of difficulty or level of effort described in the standards. Consider Bloom's Taxonomy to determine the level of difficulty expected. 4. Are defined in actionable, performance-based, or observable behavior terms. 5. Incorporate language of the standards being assessed. 6. Use language which provides actionable feedback. **Above Sufficient** Sufficient **Below Sufficient** Part 2c. Checklist Rating scale is used in lieu of rubric; e.g., ☐ Performance level descriptors explicitly describe Sufficient + 2c. Content of "level 1=significantly below expectation"... the proficiencies being assessed. ☐ Higher level actions from Assessment: "level 4= significantly above expectation." ☐ Performance level descriptors incorporate the Bloom's or other taxonomies Performance Level ☐ Performance level descriptors are vague or language of the standards being assessed. are used in performance level Criteria lack details of the proficiencies to be criteria such as "analyzes" or ☐ Performance level descriptors are appropriately assessed. "evaluates." sequenced to reflect the degree of difficulty or ☐ Performance level descriptors do not level of effort relative to the standards being incorporate the language of the standards assessed. being assessed. ☐ Performance level descriptors are defined in

actionable, performance-based, or observable

☐ Performance level descriptors are not

OAA Member(s) Reviewed:  Assessment Approved for Entry into Chalk and Wire:   Date Assessment Entered in Chalk and Wire:	Date Review  ☐ NO ☐ SENT BACK FOR REVISION Date	ed:
OAA Member(s) Reviewed:	Date Review	ed:
		•
Program Coordinator Reviewed (Name):	Date Review	ed:
Part 2c. PERFORMANCE LEVEL CRITERIA OAA Comments:		
to the standards being assessed.  Performance level descriptors do not represent qualitative differences and provide limited or no feedback to candidates specific to their performance.	define the use of the term in the context of the indicator.  Performance level descriptors reflect qualitative differences and provide actionable feedback to candidates specific to their performance.	
degree of difficulty or level of effort relative	used such as "engaged," criteria are provided to	